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The purpose of this study was to evaluate the knowledge, practices, and responses of
Thai villagers in relation to avian influenza (AI), in Suphanburi province where there
was more than one outbreak with human fatal cases and to provide this information to
governmental and non governmental institutions for evaluation of all veterinary and
health action taken during four waves of avian influenza outbreaks in Thailand. The
study also describes socio-demographic characteristics, source of information on
avian influenza and attitudes regarding satisfaction of affected farmers with
governmental action and compensation.

A cross-sectional analytical study with a self-administered questionnaire and group
discussion were used in this study with a systematic sampling method, using one adult
per household. The results showed that respondents had a reasonably accurate
knowledge about AI. About 68% of respondents had moderate knowledge about
symptoms of sick birds and 58.3% had moderate knowledge regarding AI
transmission; 67.2% have moderate knowledge about AI and 48.9 % had moderate
practice level.
The survey result shows that the knowledge of respondents does not relate directly to
their practices in relation to disease prevention, surveillance and diagnosis.
The main source of avian influenza information was TV, broadcasts. Correlation
analysis showed that income was positively and highly significant correlated with
basic knowledge score (r=0.227, p< 0.002) and positively correlated with precaution
(r=0.182, p<0.015). Significant association was found between knowledge of AI
symptoms of affected poultry farmers (p<0.004). Positive significant association was
as well between AI basic knowledge and affected farmers (p=0.013). There was
highly significant association between knowledge and practice (p<0.001). Practice
score was positive significant correlated with score for transmission knowledge (p< 0.004).
Taking into account that good practice is carried out by less than 50% of farmers’
means that the government needs to explain more about safety practices.
The government has carried out a massive campaign to explain the dangers and to
make people aware of AI but this has not had the expected impact on farmers who
still do not fully believe the dangers.